The Preservice	Mentor	Teacher

	2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
Modeled appropriate professional and ethical behaviors by:	MT	PT								
Recognizing the scope of the teaching profession (disciplines, levels, settings, roles).	95.7%	96.9%	95.0%	94.7%	96.2%	99.3%	96.6%	97.7%	96.9%	97.8%
Using personal reflection and critical feedback to evaluate professional practice and defines actions to improve.	94.9%	92.9%	93.6%	89.5%	90.4%	97.4%	94.9%	91.3%	95.0%	93.8%
Accepting the professional and ethical responsibilities and expectations of the profession.	94.9%	96.9%	94.3%	91.2%	94.2%	97.4%	97.7%	97.7%	96.3%	98.3%
Demonstrating professional reflection and engagement with the greater purpose and challenges of the teaching profession.	85.5%	92.9%	92.2%	91.2%	91.3%	93.5%	95.5%	91.3%	91.3%	96.1%
	2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
Provided fair and consistent feedback to the preservice teacher by:	MT	PT								
Demonstrating responsible, considerate interactions with the preservice teacher.	92.3%	95.9%	97.2%	93.0%	95.2%	98.0%	97.2%	97.7%	95.0%	97.2%
Providing fair, consistent, and reliable feedback to the preservice teacher.	90.6%	93.9%	95.0%	91.2%	94.2%	96.7%	95.5%	90.8%	96.3%	93.3%
Using opportunities to provide feedback as the foundation for professional growth of the preservice teacher.	90.6%	90.8%	95.0%	93.0%	96.2%	93.5%	94.4%	93.1%	94.4%	97.2%
Using feedback and follow-up interactions as benchmarks for the midterm and final evaluation of the preservice teacher's performance.	88.9%	83.7%	91.5%	89.5%	91.3%	94.8%	91.5%	90.2%	87.6%	92.7%
Provided adequate opportunities for the preservice teacher to explore and refine instructional	2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
practices by:	MT	PT								
Helping the preservice teacher design units that included strategies for the integration of multiple disciplines (e.g., science, reading, mathematics).	76.9%	75.5%	80.9%	84.2%	81.7%	91.5%	75.0%	85.5%	74.5%	86.5%
Helping the preservice teacher incorporate a variety of instructional strategies (e.g., direct instruction, inquiry, Socratic lessons, group instruction).	96.6%	90.8%	92.9%	91.2%	94.2%	92.2%	96.0%	92.5%	97.5%	93.8%
Helping the preservice teacher to use technology and other instructional media to support, enhance, and extend learning.	83.9%	78.6%	83.0%	86.0%	82.7%	88.9%	88.7%	87.3%	94.4%	94.9%
Helping the preservice teacher develop units and lessons that included instructional design process from needs assessment through analysis of standards met within the assessment plan.	81.2%	82.7%	80.1%	86.8%	80.8%	88.9%	81.3%	86.7%	86.3%	92.1%

2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT
97.4%	93.9%	98.6%	95.6%	97.1%	98.7%	98.9%	98.2%	99.4%	97.8%
95.7%	91.8%	96.5%	93.0%	95.2%	98.7%	98.3%	94.2%	96.9%	96.1%
94.9%	93.9%	97.9%	93.9%	96.2%	98.0%	95.4%	95.9%	96.3%	96.6%
92.3%	87.8%	95.0%	93.0%	95.2%	97.4%	93.7%	90.6%	96.9%	95.5%
93.2%	94.9%	95.7%	94.7%	94.2%	98.7%	96.6%	97.7%	98.1%	97.8%
2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT
93.2%	93.9%	95.7%	93.9%	97.1%	92.2%	96.0%	94.2%	95.7%	93.8%
88.9%	93.9%	94.3%	95.6%	93.3%	98.7%	93.7%	97.7%	97.5%	95.5%
84.6%	86.7%	89.4%	86.0%	89.4%	90.2%	90.3%	86.6%	84.5%	88.2%
94.9%	91.8%	96.5%	93.9%	94.2%	96.7%	98.9%	95.3%	98.1%	97.8%
90.6%	92.9%	90.1%	88.6%	92.3%	95.4%	94.9%	91.3%	94.4%	93.8%
87.2%	87.8%	90.1%	91.2%	89.4%	92.8%	91.4%	91.9%	88.2%	94.9%
89.7%	93.9%	90.8%	88.6%	89.4%	95.4%	97.1%	90.7%	93.8%	96.1%
89.7%	88.8%	92.9%	87.7%	94.2%	94.8%	94.9%	91.3%	96.3%	94.9%
81.2%	79.6%	79.4%	87.7%	86.5%	89.5%	86.3%	89.5%	79.5%	89.3%
	97.4% 95.7% 94.9% 92.3% 93.2% 2006 MT 93.2% 88.9% 84.6% 94.9% 90.6% 87.2% 89.7%	MT PT 97.4% 93.9% 95.7% 91.8% 94.9% 93.9% 92.3% 87.8% 93.2% 94.9% 2006 2006 MT PT 93.2% 93.9% 88.9% 93.9% 84.6% 86.7% 94.9% 91.8% 90.6% 92.9% 87.2% 87.8% 89.7% 88.8%	MT PT MT 97.4% 93.9% 98.6% 95.7% 91.8% 96.5% 94.9% 93.9% 97.9% 92.3% 87.8% 95.0% 93.2% 94.9% 95.7% 2006 2006 2007 MT PT MT 93.2% 93.9% 95.7% 88.9% 93.9% 95.7% 88.9% 93.9% 94.3% 84.6% 86.7% 89.4% 94.9% 91.8% 96.5% 90.6% 92.9% 90.1% 87.2% 87.8% 90.1% 889.7% 88.8% 92.9%	MT PT MT PT 97.4% 93.9% 98.6% 95.6% 95.7% 91.8% 96.5% 93.0% 94.9% 93.9% 97.9% 93.9% 92.3% 87.8% 95.0% 93.0% 93.2% 94.9% 95.7% 94.7% 2006 2006 2007 2007 MT PT MT PT 93.2% 93.9% 95.7% 93.9% 88.9% 93.9% 95.7% 93.9% 88.9% 93.9% 94.3% 95.6% 84.6% 86.7% 89.4% 86.0% 94.9% 91.8% 96.5% 93.9% 90.6% 92.9% 90.1% 88.6% 87.2% 87.8% 90.1% 91.2% 89.7% 93.9% 90.8% 88.6% 89.7% 88.8% 92.9% 87.7%	MT PT MT PT MT 97.4% 93.9% 98.6% 95.6% 97.1% 95.7% 91.8% 96.5% 93.0% 95.2% 94.9% 93.9% 97.9% 93.9% 96.2% 92.3% 87.8% 95.0% 93.0% 95.2% 93.2% 94.9% 95.7% 94.7% 94.2% 2006 2006 2007 2007 2009 MT PT MT PT MT 93.2% 93.9% 95.7% 93.9% 97.1% 88.9% 93.9% 94.3% 95.6% 93.3% 84.6% 86.7% 89.4% 86.0% 89.4% 94.9% 91.8% 96.5% 93.9% 94.2% 90.6% 92.9% 90.1% 88.6% 92.3% 87.2% 87.8% 90.1% 91.2% 89.4% 89.7% 93.9% 90.8% 88.6% 89.4% 89.7% 88.8% 92	MT PT MT PT MT PT 97.4% 93.9% 98.6% 95.6% 97.1% 98.7% 95.7% 91.8% 96.5% 93.0% 95.2% 98.7% 94.9% 93.9% 97.9% 93.9% 96.2% 98.0% 92.3% 87.8% 95.0% 93.0% 95.2% 97.4% 93.2% 94.9% 95.7% 94.7% 94.2% 98.7% 93.2% 94.9% 95.7% 94.7% 94.2% 98.7% 93.2% 93.9% 95.7% 93.9% 97.1% 92.2% 88.9% 93.9% 95.7% 93.9% 97.1% 92.2% 88.9% 93.9% 94.3% 95.6% 93.3% 98.7% 84.6% 86.7% 89.4% 86.0% 89.4% 90.2% 94.9% 91.8% 96.5% 93.9% 94.2% 96.7% 90.6% 92.9% 90.1% 88.6% 92.3% 95.4%	MT PT MT PT MT PT MT 97.4% 93.9% 98.6% 95.6% 97.1% 98.7% 98.9% 95.7% 91.8% 96.5% 93.0% 95.2% 98.7% 98.3% 94.9% 93.9% 97.9% 93.9% 96.2% 98.0% 95.4% 92.3% 87.8% 95.0% 93.0% 95.2% 97.4% 93.7% 93.2% 94.9% 95.7% 94.7% 94.2% 98.7% 96.6% 2006 2006 2007 2007 2009 2009 2010 MT PT MT PT MT PT MT 93.2% 93.9% 95.7% 93.9% 97.1% 92.2% 96.6% 88.9% 93.9% 94.3% 95.6% 93.3% 98.7% 93.7% 84.6% 86.7% 89.4% 86.0% 89.4% 90.2% 90.3% 94.9% 91.8% 96.5% 93.9%	MT PT MT PT MT PT MT PT MT PT PT<	MT PT MT PT<

	2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
Demonstrated effective communication and interpersonal skills with the preservice teacher and the UW Faculty/Consultant by:	MT	PT								
Being knowledgeable of and following school and district policies and procedures.	92.3%	94.9%	96.5%	97.4%	97.1%	97.4%	98.3%	97.1%	96.9%	98.3%
Demonstrating effective decision-making.	89.7%	94.9%	95.7%	96.5%	94.2%	98.7%	97.7%	98.8%	98.1%	97.2%
Communicating clearly and professionally with the preservice teacher and the UW Faculty/Consultant.	87.2%	93.9%	90.1%	90.4%	96.2%	95.4%	93.7%	92.4%	91.9%	96.6%
Projecting a professional image (appearance, voice, demeanor).	94.9%	95.9%	96.5%	96.5%	95.2%	98.0%	97.1%	95.9%	97.5%	98.3%
Interacting with students and the preservice teacher respectfully, with fairness, and with appropriate language.	94.0%	93.9%	97.9%	91.2%	98.1%	96.7%	97.1%	97.1%	97.5%	97.2%
Interacting with staff, faculty and external constituents respectfully and cooperatively.	88.0%	94.9%	97.2%	96.5%	95.2%	98.0%	97.2%	96.6%	98.1%	97.2%
Modeling professionalism in all aspects of communication.	92.3%	91.8%	96.5%	87.7%	96.2%	97.4%	97.2%	94.3%	97.5%	96.6%
Modeled and mentored a professional disposition of access to quality teaching for ALL children in the	2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
classroom by:	MT	PT								
Understanding how due process promotes equal protection for students with diverse needs in schools.	82.1%	87.8%	85.8%	88.6%	87.5%	92.2%	84.7%	89.7%	83.2%	92.7%
Understanding how social class, race, gender, or disability can affect student performance.	89.7%	93.9%	92.2%	94.7%	95.2%	96.7%	95.5%	94.8%	92.5%	94.9%
Articulating a strong rationale for pedagogical decisions and selecting strategies that effectively address the diverse needs of learners.	86.3%	91.8%	87.2%	88.6%	91.3%	96.1%	89.8%	90.8%	87.6%	93.8%
Helping the preservice teacher understand the diverse needs of learners in order to promote equitable and inclusive practices in the classroom.	90.6%	90.8%	92.2%	90.4%	93.3%	94.8%	92.7%	93.7%	97.5%	97.2%
Provided specific strategies for the preservice teacher to expand the use and knowledge of	2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
assessment(s) of student learning by:	MT	PT								
Helping the preservice teacher accurately and appropriately assess student learning.	93.2%	95.9%	95.0%	96.5%	97.1%	96.7%	97.7%	93.7%	96.3%	97.8%
Setting an expectation for assessments before, during, and after instruction.	86.3%	88.8%	87.2%	91.2%	87.5%	90.8%	92.1%	89.1%	90.7%	92.7%
Helping the preservice teacher evaluate student learning using a variety of assessment strategies.	88.9%	90.8%	88.7%	92.1%	91.3%	93.5%	92.7%	88.5%	95.7%	94.4%
Helping the preservice teacher develop and demonstrate an understanding of confidentiality and other legal and ethical considerations related to assessment.	88.9%	87.8%	94.3%	93.0%	93.3%	95.4%	92.1%	93.7%	91.9%	98.3%

	2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
Provided effective support for the transition from preservice to inservice by:	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT
Understanding the structure and hierarchy of the profession (e.g., relationships between teachers, administrators, funding, governance).	89.7%	92.9%	85.8%	92.1%	90.4%	93.5%	89.8%	94.2%	90.7%	97.2%
Including the preservice teacher in activities and conversations that expand the scope of the profession beyond the classroom.	92.3%	95.9%	92.2%	96.5%	93.3%	97.4%	93.2%	95.9%	96.9%	97.2%
Providing opportunities for conversation about career planning.	89.7%	89.8%	89.4%	90.4%	88.5%	95.4%	90.4%	92.4%	93.8%	92.1%
Providing opportunities for the preservice teacher to interact with faculty at a variety of stages of their professional careers.	88.0%	91.8%	1.8% 90.1%	91.2%	85.6%	96.1%	91.5%	91.9%	92.5%	96.6%
	2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
Actively included the preservice teacher in professional development opportunities by:	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT
Demonstrating a commitment to professional, life-long learning.	96.6%	96.9%	95.7%	95.6%	98.1%	96.1%	95.4%	98.2%	96.3%	97.2%
Arriving at school ready to teach and or/mentor.	95.7%	95.9%	97.9%	98.2%	95.2%	94.8%	97.7%	94.7%	98.8%	96.6%
Seeking opportunities for the preservice teacher to engage in professional development.	86.3%	90.8%	87.9%	95.6%	92.3%	96.1%	88.0%	90.0%	88.8%	94.4%
Facilitating the preservice teacher in developing a service orientation to the school and community (e.g., volunteering or participating in school and district activities outside the classroom).	82.1%	82.7%	85.8%	84.2%	82.7%	88.2%	83.4%	87.6%	83.2%	89.3%
Encouraging the preservice teacher to actively participate in professional and/or community organizations.	71.8%	85.7%	75.2%	79.8%	81.7%	86.3%	76.0%	84.1%	65.8%	84.8%
	2006	2006	2007	2007	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2011
Demonstrated effective overall mentoring for this preservice teacher by:	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT	MT	PT
Providing feedback on the preservice teacher's development level in content knowledge, pedagogy, and dispositions for the profession.	93.2%	90.8%	93.6%	93.9%	94.2%	95.4%	95.4%	91.8%	98.1%	95.5%
Conducting the evaluation of the preservice teacher with honesty and fairness.	95.7%	96.9%	97.9%	93.0%	94.2%	97.4%	98.9%	93.6%	98.8%	97.8%
Meeting deadlines established by the CoEd.	92.3%	95.9%	95.0%	94.7%	92.3%	98.7%	94.3%	97.1%	95.7%	97.8%
Being an active participant in all stages of preservice teacher's Residency.	90.6%	91.8%	89.4%	91.2%	96.2%	97.4%	96.6%	93.0%	96.3%	96.1%
Demonstrating knowledge of WTEP policies and expectations for the Residency field experience.	77.8%	84.7%	83.7%	90.4%	85.6%	94.8%	85.1%	88.9%	88.8%	95.5%
Understanding and using the WTEP system (e.g., UW Faculty/Consultant, Office of Teacher Education) to support the preservice teacher's professional growth and transition.	73.5%	85.7%	78.7%	88.6%	85.6%	94.8%	84.6%	87.1%	82.0%	93.8%